

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,993	03/01/2004	Osamu Isaji	52070/DBP/A400	1303
23363	7590 08/20/2004		EXAM	INER
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			GREGORY, BERNARR E	
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA. CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,993	ISAJI, OSAMU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bernarr E. Gregory	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN. - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of t itatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M y will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> — · · ·						
closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the a	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	· · · —					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	\boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
3. Copies of the certified copies	or documents have been received. Or documents have been received in the priority documents have been not been received in the priority documents have been not bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o 		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date —. 6) ☐ Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/790,993

Art Unit: 3662

1. The drawings are objected to because they do not show all features set forth in the claims per 37 CFR §1.83(a).

Figure 3 is the only drawing figure that appears to illustrate the claimed apparatus; however, each and every claimed feature in independent claim 1 is not illustrated in Figure 3 or elsewhere in the drawing figures. The Specification seems to indicate that item 28 of Figure 3 could be the claimed "analyzing unit," but there is no drawing element after the A/D converter (item 26 of Figure 3) that corresponds to the claimed "removing unit" of independent claim 1. **Correction is hereby required.**

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are <u>required</u> in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,993

Art Unit: 3662

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In sole independent claim 1, it is unclear in context if the structure recited in the preamble of the claim is claimed since it is not clearly and definitely set forth as being claimed. If it is intended that the preamble structure be claimed, then on line 4 of claim 1, "comprising" must be amended to read, "further comprising."

Dependent claims 2-9 are unclear in that they depend from unclear independent claim 1.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skolnik in view of Liu or Lawrence et al.

Figure 4.21 on page 119 of the Skolnik reference shows all of the elements recited in independent claim 1 except for the claimed "analyzing unit ...". Each of Liu and Lawrence et al teaches the use of Fourier processing to filter out clutter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill-in-the-art to use either the Liu or the Lawrence et al Fourier clutter processing in Skolnik for the old and well-known benefits of increased radar sensitivity to targets from

Application/Control Number: 10/790,993

Art Unit: 3662

effective clutter elimination. In Lawrence et al, please note Figure 1. In Liu, please note Figure 1.

- 5. Claims 2-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kiuchi et al ('050) is of interest for showing an MTI radar with digital processing. Please note the drawing elements in box 13 of Figure 1 of Kiuchi et al ('050) as compared to items 26 and 28 in Figure 3 of the instant application.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (703) 306-5765. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/790,993

Art Unit: 3662

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernarr E. Gregory Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662